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#### Abstract

The complete set of $6 j$ symbols for the double point groups $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ and the complete set of 3 jm factors associated with the group chain $\mathrm{D}_{4 d} \supset \mathrm{D}_{4} \supset \mathrm{C}_{4}$ are calculated.


## 1. Introduction

Elementary applications of group theory to a quantum-mechanical system yield qualitative information such as the degeneracies of the states of the system and the selection rules. To obtain quantitative information the well known Wigner-Eckart theorem (Wybourne 1974) must be applied. A knowledge of coupling coefficients is essential for the application of the Wigner-Eckart theorem.

Racah's irreducible tensor method, which was developed for systems with Hamiltonians having full spherical symmetry (such as free atoms), was extended by Griffith (1962) for application to systems having the lower symmetry characteristic of the internal modes of motion of molecules and of the states of ions in solids. Griffith (1962), by analogy with Racah's $\bar{V}, \bar{W}$ and $X$ coefficients and Wigner's $3 j, 6 j$ and $9 j$ symbols, obtained the $V, W$ and $X$ coefficients for the octahedral group and its subgroups and the dihedral groups, considering only their true representations (also known as singlevalued representations). Harnung (1973) extended the work of Griffith to the octahedral spinor group and tabulated the $3 \Gamma$ symbols for this group. His method has revealed symmetries which might otherwise be considered as accidental and has facilitated ligand-field calculations. Golding (1973) obtained the $V$ symmetry-coupling coefficients for the icosahedral double group using the behaviour of a minimum number of $|J M\rangle$ 'ket' vectors, by analogy with the $\bar{V}$ coefficients of Racah. These coupling coefficients are useful in calculations involving systems such as polyhedral conductors and rare-earth double nitrates. Coupling coefficients associated with all the thirty-two crystallographic double point groups were tabulated by Koster et al (1963), taking into consideration the time-inversion operator in addition to the spatial operators. Golding and Newmarch (1977) calculated the $\bar{V}$ coupling coefficients for the groups $\mathrm{D}_{n}^{*}, \mathrm{C}_{n}^{*}$ and $\mathrm{T}^{*}$ using the fact that they are subgroups of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, the special unitary group in two dimensions, and the earlier method of Golding (1973).

Butler (1975) extended the irreducible tensor theory for arbitrary compact Lie groups (finite or continuous), and their subgroup chains. Butler and Wybourne (1976a) developed a systematic recursive method of computing $6 j$ symbols and 3 jm factors in a group-subgroup chain. Butler (1976) applied this method to $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ and was able to
rederive all the standard results pertaining to this group. Butler and Wybourne (1976b) applied this recursive method to compute the $6 j$ symbols and $3 j \mathrm{jm}$ factors that arise in the group-subgroup chain $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \supset \mathrm{~T} \supset \mathrm{C}_{3} \supset \mathrm{C}_{1}$. The consideration of a group-subgroup chain mainly serves two purposes: it throws light on the structural significance of the system under consideration and, if a suitable chain of groups was chosen, it leads to the elimination of the multiplicity problem, thereby solving the problem of labelling the basis states unambiguously.

In practical applications it is often necessary to consider the coupling of the product of the basis states of three irreducible representations of the symmetry group of the system under consideration. This coupling of the products may be performed in various possible sequences. The various resultant coupled states are related by unitary transformations and the elements of these unitary matrices are known as 'recoupling coefficients'. In practical calculations it is desirable to make use of the highly symmetrical $6 j$ symbol which is related to the recoupling coefficient (Butler 1975, equation 9.13). Racah's factorisation lemma states that if the basis states $|\lambda i\rangle$ are chosen to form irreducible spaces of some subgroup $\hat{G}$ of the symmetry group $G$, then the coupling coefficient of the group $G$ factorises into an isoscalar factor (which is independent of basis labels) and a coupling coefficient of the subgroup $\hat{G}$. The 3 jm factor is related to the isoscalar factor (Butler 1975, equation 13.8).

The $6 j$ symbols and $3 j m$ symbols (equivalently the $W$ coefficients and $V$ coefficients) are known only for very few non-crystallographic single and double point groups (Griffith 1962, Golding 1973, Golding and Newmarch 1977). In this paper, the non-crystallographic double point group $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$ is taken up and its $6 j$ symbols and the $3 j m$ factors for the chain $D_{4 d} \supset D_{4} \supset C_{4}$ are completely evaluated following the systematic recursive method developed by Butler and Wybourne (1976a). Consideration of this chain eliminates completely the multiplicity problem, thereby solving the problem of unambiguous labelling of the basis states. In this method the calculation of the $6 j$ symbols and $3 j m$ factors does not require any specific choice of bases for the irreducible representations of the groups considered. Their calculation depends entirely on the characters of the irreducible representations. The sulphur molecule ( $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ ) having the puckered octagonal structure is a well-known example of a physical system having $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$ symmetry. For any physical application one only has to choose suitable bases for the irreducible representations of the lowest group in the chain, namely $\mathrm{C}_{4}$. Using the resulting coupling coefficients of $C_{4}$ and the 3 jm factors for the chains $D_{4} \supset C_{4}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4 d} \supset \mathrm{D}_{4}$ (calculated in this paper), one calculates the coupling coefficients of the largest group in the chain, namely $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$, in a step-by-step fashion.

In § 2 we calculate the $1 j, 2 j$ and $3 j$ symbols for the double point groups $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4}$. A set of fundamental $6 j$ symbols is calculated, and then a complete set of primitive $6 j$ symbols is obtained for $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ using the orthogonality and Racah back-coupling relations. Using these primitive $6 j$ symbols, all the non-trivial inequivalent $6 j$ symbols are computed by the recursive method. In $\S 3$ the $2 j m$ factors for the chain $\mathrm{D}_{4 d} \supset \mathrm{D}_{4}$ are suitably fixed. Using these and the orthogonality relations and symmetry properties of 3 jm factors, a complete set of primitive 3 jm factors is obtained for the chain $\mathrm{D}_{4 d} \supset \mathrm{D}_{4}$. The complete set of non-trivial inequivalent 3 jm factors for the chain $\mathrm{D}_{4 d} \supset \mathrm{D}_{4}$ is then calculated using a recursion relation. In § 4 the work of $\S 3$ is repeated for the chain $\mathrm{D}_{4} \supset \mathrm{C}_{4}$. The notation and terminology used in this paper are mostly those of Butler and Wybourne (1976a). The character table and the multiplication table for the double group $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$ are taken from Herzberg (1966) and for the double groups $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ we took them from Koster et al (1963).

## 2. $6 \boldsymbol{j}$ symbols for the double groups $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{4}$

The double point groups $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ are simply reducible. The symmetric $\left(\Gamma_{i} \otimes\{2\}\right)$ and antisymmetric $\left(\Gamma_{i} \otimes\left\{1^{2}\right\}\right)$ terms of the Kronecker squares $\Gamma_{i}^{\times 2}$ of the irreducible representations (irreps) of $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ are given respectively in tables 1 and 2.

## Table 1.

| $\Gamma_{i}$ | $\Gamma_{i} \otimes\{2\}$ | $\Gamma_{i} \otimes\left\{1^{2}\right\}$ | $\phi_{\Gamma}$ | Type | Power |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Gamma_{1}\left(A_{1}\right)$ | $\Gamma_{1}$ | - | +1 | Orthogonal | 2 |
| $\Gamma_{2}\left(A_{2}\right)$ | $\Gamma_{1}$ | - | +1 | Orthogonal | 2 |
| $\Gamma_{3}\left(B_{1}\right)$ | $\Gamma_{1}$ | - | +1 | Orthogonal | 8 |
| $\Gamma_{4}\left(B_{2}\right)$ | $\Gamma_{1}$ | - | +1 | Orthogonal | 8 |
| $\Gamma_{5}\left(E_{1}\right)$ | $\Gamma_{1}+\Gamma_{6}$ | $\Gamma_{2}$ | +1 | Orthogonal | 2 |
| $\Gamma_{6}\left(E_{2}\right)$ | $\Gamma_{1}+\Gamma_{3}+\Gamma_{4}$ | $\Gamma_{2}$ | +1 | Orthogonal | 4 |
| $\Gamma_{7}\left(E_{3}\right)$ | $\Gamma_{1}+\Gamma_{6}$ | $\Gamma_{2}$ | +1 | Orthogonal | 6 |
| $\Gamma_{8}\left(E_{1 / 2}\right)$ | $\Gamma_{2}+\Gamma_{5}$ | $\Gamma_{1}$ | -1 | Symplectic | 1 |
| $\Gamma_{9}\left(E_{3 / 2}\right)$ | $\Gamma_{2}+\Gamma_{7}$ | $\Gamma_{1}$ | -1 | Symplectic | 3 |
| $\Gamma_{10}\left(E_{5 / 2}\right)$ | $\Gamma_{2}+\Gamma_{7}$ | $\Gamma_{1}$ | -1 | Symplectic | 5 |
| $\Gamma_{11}\left(E_{7 / 2}\right)$ | $\Gamma_{2}+\Gamma_{5}$ | $\Gamma_{1}$ | -1 | Symplectic | 7 |

Table 2.

| $\Gamma_{i}$ | $\Gamma_{i} \otimes\{2\}$ | $\Gamma_{i} \otimes\left\{1^{2}\right\}$ | $\phi_{\Gamma}$ | Type | Power |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Gamma_{1}$ | $\Gamma_{1}$ | - | +1 | Orthogonal | 2 |
| $\Gamma_{2}$ | $\Gamma_{1}$ | - | +1 | Orthogonal | 2 |
| $\Gamma_{3}$ | $\Gamma_{1}$ | - | +1 | Orthogonal | 4 |
| $\Gamma_{4}$ | $\Gamma_{1}$ | - | +1 | Orthogonal | 4 |
| $\Gamma_{5}$ | $\Gamma_{1}+\Gamma_{3}+\Gamma_{4}$ | $\Gamma_{2}$ | +1 | Orthogonal | 2 |
| $\Gamma_{6}$ | $\Gamma_{2}+\Gamma_{5}$ | $\Gamma_{1}$ | -1 | Symplectic | 1 |
| $\Gamma_{7}$ | $\Gamma_{2}+\Gamma_{5}$ | $\Gamma_{1}$ | -1 | Symplectic | 3 |

Herzberg's (1966) notation for indicating the irreps of $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$ is given inside the parenthesis of the first column of table 1. The irreps of a finite group are classified (Butler and King 1974) into orthogonal, symplectic or complex by the evaluation of FrobeniusSchur invariant $C_{\Gamma}$ (Hamermesh 1962) and we assign the $2 j$ symbol $\phi_{\Gamma}$ the value of $C_{\Gamma}$ in the first two cases.

The permutational symmetries of the 3 jm symbols (Butler 1975) are given by

$$
\left(\lambda_{a} \lambda_{b} \lambda_{c}\right)_{s i_{a} i_{b} i_{c}}=\sum_{r}\left\{(\pi) \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right\}_{s r}\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right)_{r i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}
$$

where ' $\pi$ ' is a permutation of $1,2,3$. Using the phase convention of Butler (1975), we have

$$
\left\{(\pi) \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right\}_{r s}= \begin{cases}\delta_{r s} & \text { for } \pi \text { even } \\ \theta\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} r\right) \delta_{r s} & \text { for } \pi \text { odd }\end{cases}
$$

where $\theta\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} r\right)= \pm 1$. When two of the three irreps are equal, an inspection of symmetrised Kronecker squares reveals that the value of the $3 j$ symbol $\theta\left(\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}, r\right)$ is
equal to +1 or -1 according to whether the $r$ th term of $\lambda^{* *}$ occurs in the symmetric or antisymmetric part of the product $\lambda \times \lambda$ respectively. As an example, for the group $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$ we have $\theta\left(\Gamma_{5} \Gamma_{5} \Gamma_{2}, 1\right)=-1, \quad\left(\Gamma_{8} \Gamma_{8} \Gamma_{5}, 1\right)=+1$, since $\Gamma_{2}^{*}=\Gamma_{2}$ is in the antisymmetric part of $\Gamma_{5} \times \Gamma_{5}$ and $\Gamma_{5}^{*}=\Gamma_{5}$ is in the symmetric part of $\Gamma_{8} \times \Gamma_{8}$. Now we can select a set of $1 j$ symbols $(-1)^{\lambda}$ such that

$$
\phi_{\lambda}=(-1)^{2 \lambda}
$$

and $\theta\left(\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}, r\right)=(-1)^{\lambda+\lambda+\lambda^{\prime}+r-1}$. The remaining $3 j$ symbols, when the three irreps are distinct, are calculated from the equation

$$
\theta\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}, r\right)=(-1)^{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+r-1}
$$

The groups $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ are Kronecker multiplicity free and therefore we drop $r$ throughout. In the present problem the $1 j$ symbols for $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$ are

$$
(-1)^{\Gamma_{k}}= \begin{cases}+1, & k=1,3,4,6 \\ -1, & k=2,5,7 \\ +\mathrm{i}, & k=8,9,10,11\end{cases}
$$

and for $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ are

$$
(-1)^{\Gamma_{k}}= \begin{cases}+1, & k=1,3,4 \\ -1, & k=2,5 \\ +\mathrm{i}, & k=6,7\end{cases}
$$

Thus all the $1 j, 2 j$ and $3 j$ symbols are obtained.
The spin representation $\Gamma_{8}$ of $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$ and $\Gamma_{6}$ of $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ are faithful representations and may be chosen as primitive representations (Butler and Wybourne 1976a). The power of a representation $\lambda$ is defined as the minimum positive integer $l$ such that $\epsilon^{\times l} \supset \lambda$ or $\left(\epsilon^{*}\right)^{\times l} \supset \lambda$ where $\epsilon$ is the primitive representation. A primitive $6 j$ symbol is a $6 j$ symbol which contains the primitive irrep at least once as one of its irreps, but does not contain the scalar representation. The trivial $6 j$ symbols, being proportional to the $3 j$ symbols, are readily determined from equation (17) of Butler and Wybourne (1976a). Using the orthogonality and Racah back-coupling relations (Butler and Wybourne 1976a, equations (25) and (26)), and systematically increasing the power of the largest irrep, all the $6 j$ primitives are calculated. The free phases of the $6 j$ 's are fixed by a subset of primitives known as fundamentals (Butler and Wybourne 1976b). The phases of all the fundamentals for the groups $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ are chosen to be +1 for simplicity.

Once the set of primitive $6 j$ symbols is obtained, the rest are computed recursively using the modified form of the generalised Biedenharn-Elliott sum rule (Butler and Wybourne 1976a, equation (27)) and the primitives. At this stage no phase freedom exists. The complete sets of non-trivial inequivalent $6 j$ symbols of $D_{4 d}$ and $D_{4}$ are listed in tables 5 and 6 respectively.

## 3. $\mathbf{3 j m}$ factors for $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{4} \boldsymbol{d}} \supset \mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$

The branching rules for $\mathrm{D}_{4 d} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{4}$ are given in table 3. The first step in calculating the 3 jm primitives (Butler and Wybourne 1976a) is to fix the 2 jm factors. We choose

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{1}}=\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)_{\gamma_{2} \gamma_{2}}=\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{1}}=\left(\Gamma_{4}\right)_{\gamma_{2} \gamma_{2}}=\left(\Gamma_{5}\right)_{\gamma_{5} \gamma_{5}}=\left(\Gamma_{6}\right)_{33}=\left(\Gamma_{6}\right)_{\gamma_{4} \gamma_{4}}=\left(\Gamma_{7}\right)_{\gamma_{5} \gamma_{5}} \\
=\left(\Gamma_{8}\right)_{\gamma_{6} \gamma_{6}}=\left(\Gamma_{9}\right)_{\gamma_{7} \gamma_{7}}=\left(\Gamma_{10}\right)_{\gamma_{7} \gamma_{7}}=\left(\Gamma_{11}\right)_{\gamma_{6} \gamma_{6}}=+1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Table 3. Branching rules for $\mathrm{D}_{4 d} \supset \mathrm{D}_{4}$.

| $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Gamma_{1}$ | $\gamma_{1}$ |
| $\Gamma_{2}$ | $\gamma_{2}$ |
| $\Gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{1}$ |
| $\Gamma_{4}$ | $\gamma_{2}$ |
| $\Gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ |
| $\Gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{3}+\gamma_{4}$ |
| $\Gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ |
| $\Gamma_{8}$ | $\gamma_{6}$ |
| $\Gamma_{9}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |
| $\Gamma_{10}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |
| $\Gamma_{11}$ | $\gamma_{6}$ |

Table 4. Branching rules for $D_{4} \supset C_{4}$.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ |
|  |  |
| $\Gamma_{1}$ | $\gamma_{1}$ |
| $\Gamma_{2}$ | $\gamma_{1}$ |
| $\Gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{2}$ |
| $\Gamma_{4}$ | $\gamma_{2}$ |
| $\Gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{3}+\gamma_{4}$ |
| $\Gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{5}+\gamma_{6}$ |
| $\Gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{7}+\gamma_{8}$ |

Table 5. Non-trivial inequivalent $6 j$ symbols for the group $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$.

| (23 4/234) = +1 | $(234 / 666)=+2^{-1 / 2}$ | (357/9118) $=-\frac{1}{2}$ | (357/9910) $=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(234 / 577)=+2^{-1 / 2}$ | (234/755) $=+2^{-1 / 2}$ | $(357 / 10109)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(357 / 10811)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| (234/8 1111) $=-2^{-1 / 2}$ | (234/9 1010 ) $=+2^{-1 / 2}$ | $(357 / 11910)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\dagger(357 / 11811)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| (234/1099) $=-2^{-1 / 2}$ | $\dagger(234 / 1188)=+2^{-1 / 2}$ | $(366 / 466)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(366 / 366)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(255 / 255)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(255 / 377)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(366 / 9811)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $\dagger(366 / 8910)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| (255/477) $=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(255 / 566)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(366 / 11910)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(366 / 10811)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(255 / 655)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(255 / 677)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(3811 / 4811)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(3811 / 3811)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(255 / 766)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\dagger(255 / 888)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $\dagger(3811 / 5118)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(3811 / 5109)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(255 / 899)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(255 / 988)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(3811 / 6109)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(3811 / 6910)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| (255/9 1010) $=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(255 / 1099)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\dagger(3811 / 7910)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(3811 / 7811)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(255 / 101111)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(255 / 111010)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(3910 / 4910)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(3910 / 3910)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(255 / 111111)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(266 / 266)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(3910 / 7109)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(3910 / 5910)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(266 / 366)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(266 / 466)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(457 / 566)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(457 / 457)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(266 / 577)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(266 / 777)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(457 / 675)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(457 / 657)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(266 / 899)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(266 / 81010)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $\dagger(457 / 8118)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(457 / 766)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $t(266 / 988)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(266 / 91111)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(457 / 9910)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $\dagger(457 / 8109)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(266 / 1088)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(266 / 101111)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(457 / 10811)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(457 / 9118)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(266 / 1199)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(266 / 111010)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(457 / 11811)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(457 / 10109)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(277 / 277)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(277 / 677)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(466 / 466)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(457 / 11910)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(277 / 81010)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(277 / 81111)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(466 / 9811)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(466 / 8910)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(277 / 999)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(277 / 91111)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(466 / 11910)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\dagger(466 / 10811)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $+(277 / 1088)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(277 / 101010)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(4811 / 5109)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(4811 / 4811)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(277 / 1188)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(277 / 1199)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(4811 / 6910)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(4811 / 5118)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(288 / 288)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(288 / 31111)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(4811 / 7811)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(4811 / 6109)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(288 / 41111)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(288 / 588)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(4910 / 4910)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(4811 / 7910)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $\dagger(288 / 599)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(288 / 699)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(4910 / 7109)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(4910 / 5910)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $\dagger(288 / 61010)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | (288/71010) $=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(556 / 576)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(556 / 556)=0$ |
| $+(288 / 71111)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(299 / 299)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $\dagger(556 / 988)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(556 / 776)=0$ |
| $(299 / 31010)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(299 / 41010)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(556 / 11910)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(556 / 1089)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(299 / 51010)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(299 / 61111)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(567 / 567)=0$ | $(556 / 111011)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(299 / 799)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(299 / 71111)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $\dagger(567 / 8109)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(567 / 767)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(21010 / 21010)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(21010 / 71010)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(567 / 998)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(567 / 81110)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(21010 / 61111)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(21010 / 51111)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(567 / 1088)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(567 / 91111)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(21111 / 51111)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(21111 / 21111)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(567 / 1189)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(567 / 101011)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(357 / 457)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(357 / 357)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(588 / 588)=0$ | $(567 / 11910)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(357 / 657)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(357 / 566)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $\div(588 / 6910)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(588 / 589)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(357 / 766)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(357 / 675)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(588 / 71111)=0$ | $\dagger(588 / 71011)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(357 / 8118)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(357 / 8109)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(589 / 5109)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(589 / 589)=0$ |

Table 5. (continued).

| $(589 / 61110)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(589 / 689)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $+(689 / 7911)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(689 / 61110)=0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(589 / 71110)=0$ | $\dagger(589 / 7910)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(6810 / 6810)=0$ | $(689 / 71110)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(5910 / 5910)=0$ | $\dagger(589 / 71111)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(6810 / 7810)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(6810 / 61110)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(5910 / 61111)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(5910 / 51110)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(6911 / 6911)=0$ | $(6810 / 71011)=+\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(5910 / 71011)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(5910 / 7109)=0$ | $(6911 / 7911)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(6911 / 61011)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $(51011 / 51111)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(51011 / 51011)=0$ | $(7810 / 7810)=0$ | $(61011 / 61011)=0$ |
| $(51111 / 51111)=0$ | $(51011 / 61011)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(7810 / 71010)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(7810 / 7811)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $\dagger(677 / 81011)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(677 / 677)=0$ | $(7811 / 7911)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(7811 / 7811)=0$ |
| $\dagger(677 / 10810)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(677 / 9911)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(799 / 7911)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(799 / 799)=0$ |
| $(689 / 689)=0$ | $+(677 / 1189)=+\frac{1}{2}$ | $(71010 / 71010)=0$ | $(7911 / 7911)=0$ |
| $(689 / 6119)=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(689 / 6810)=-\frac{1}{2}$ |  |  |

$\dagger$ These $6 j$ symbols are fundamentals

$$
(i j k / q r s)_{r_{122} r^{2} 44}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\Gamma_{i} & \Gamma_{j} & \Gamma_{k} \\
\Gamma_{q} & \Gamma_{r} & \Gamma_{s}
\end{array}\right\}_{r_{12} r_{3} r 4} .
$$

For the group under consideration the Kronecker multiplicities are 1 and hence $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$, and $r_{4}$ are suppressed. The same notation is adapted in table 6 .

Table 6. Non-trivial inequivalent $6 j$ symbols for the group $D_{4}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(234 / 234) & =+1 & (234 / 555) & =+2^{-1 / 2} \\
+(234 / 677) & =+2^{-1 / 2} & (234 / 766) & =-2^{-1 / 2} \\
(255 / 255) & =+\frac{1}{2} & (255 / 355) & =+\frac{1}{2} \\
(255 / 455) & =+\frac{1}{2} & \uparrow(255 / 666) & =+\frac{1}{2} \\
(255 / 677) & =-\frac{1}{2} & (255 / 766) & =-\frac{1}{2} \\
(255 / 777) & =+\frac{1}{2} & (266 / 266) & =-\frac{1}{2} \\
(266 / 377) & =+\frac{1}{2} & (266 / 477) & =+\frac{1}{2} \\
(266 / 566) & =+\frac{1}{2} & \div(266 / 577) & =+\frac{1}{2} \\
(277 / 277) & =-\frac{1}{2} & (277 / 577) & =+\frac{1}{2} \\
(355 / 355) & =+\frac{1}{2} & (355 / 455) & =-\frac{1}{2} \\
+(355 / 667) & =+\frac{1}{2} & (355 / 767) & =+\frac{1}{2} \\
(367 / 367) & =-\frac{1}{2} & (367 / 467) & =+\frac{1}{2} \\
(367 / 567) & =+\frac{1}{2} & \dagger(367 / 576) & =+\frac{1}{2} \\
(455 / 455) & =+\frac{1}{2} & \div(455 / 667) & =+\frac{1}{2} \\
(455 / 767) & =-\frac{1}{2} & (467 / 467) & =-\frac{1}{2} \\
(467 / 567) & =+\frac{1}{2} & (467 / 576) & =-\frac{1}{2} \\
(566 / 566) & =0 & (566 / 567) & =-\frac{1}{2} \\
(566 / 577) & =0 & (567 / 567) & =0 \\
(567 / 577) & =-\frac{1}{2} & (577 / 577) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

The trivial 3 jm factors follow immediately (Butler and Wybourne 1976a, equation (29)) from the equation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda & \lambda^{*} & 1 \\
a \sigma & a^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime} & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left\langle 1 \mid \lambda a \sigma ; \lambda^{*} a^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}\right\rangle=|\lambda|^{-1 / 2}|\sigma|^{1 / 2}(\lambda)_{a \sigma, a^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}}
$$

The magnitudes of the primitive 3 jm factors are obtained using the orthogonality relations (equations (35) and (36) of Butler and Wybourne 1976a). Choosing the relative phases from the orthogonality relations and systematically increasing the power of the largest irrep, we obtain nine independent and two dependent primitive 3 jm factors of $\mathrm{D}_{4 d} \supset \mathrm{D}_{4}$. The non-trivial non-primitive inequivalent 3 jm factors for $\mathrm{D}_{4 d} \supset$
$D_{4}$ are calculated recursively using equation (41) of Butler and Wybourne (1976a), $3 j m$ primitives of $\mathrm{D}_{4 d} \supset \mathrm{D}_{4}$, and primitive $6 j$ symbols of $\mathrm{D}_{4 d}$. The complete set of nontrivial inequivalent 3 jm factors of $\mathrm{D}_{4 d} \supset \mathrm{D}_{4}$ is listed in table 7 .

Table 7. Non-trivial inequivalent 3 jm factors for $\mathrm{D}_{4 d} \supset \mathrm{D}_{4}$.

| $(234 / 212)=+1$ | $(255 / 255)=+1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(266 / 234)=-2^{-1 / 2}$ | $(277 / 255)=-1$ |
| $(288 / 266)=+1$ | $(299 / 277)=+1$ |
| $(21010) / 277)=+1$ | $(21111 / 266)=+1$ |
| $(357 / 155)=+1$ | $(366 / 133)=-2^{-1 / 2}$ |
| $(366 / 144)=+2^{-1 / 2}$ | $(3811 / 166)=+1$ |
| $(3910 / 177)=-1$ | $(457 / 255)=-1$ |
| $(466 / 234)=+2^{-1 / 2}$ | $(4811 / 266)=+1$ |
| $(4910 / 277)=-1$ | $(556 / 553)=+2^{-1 / 2}$ |
| $(556 / 554)=+2^{-1 / 2}$ | $(567 / 535)=+2^{-1 / 2}$ |
| $(567 / 545)=-2^{-1 / 2}$ | $(588 / 566)=+1$ |
| $(589 / 567)=+1$ | $(5910 / 577)=+1$ |
| $(51011 / 576)=-1$ | $(51111 / 566)=+1$ |
| $(677 / 355)=-2^{-1 / 2}$ | $(677 / 455)=-2^{-1 / 2}$ |
| $(689 / 367)=+2^{-1 / 2}$ | $(689 / 467)=+2^{-1 / 2}$ |
| $(6810 / 367)=+2^{-1 / 2}$ | $(6810 / 467)=-2^{-1 / 2}$ |
| $(6911 / 376)=-2^{-1 / 2}$ | $(6911 / 476)=+2^{-1 / 2}$ |
| $(61011 / 376)=-2^{-1 / 2}$ | $(61011 / 476)=-2^{-1 / 2}$ |
| $(7810 / 567)=+1$ | $(7811 / 566)=+1$ |
| $(799 / 577)=-1$ | $(7911 / 576)=-1$ |
| $(71010 / 577)=-1$. |  |

Note that

$$
(i j k /(a) l(b) m(c) n)_{s}^{r}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_{i} & \Gamma_{j} & \Gamma_{k} \\
(a) \gamma_{l} & (b) \gamma_{m} & (c) \gamma_{n}
\end{array}\right)_{s}^{r}
$$

where $a, b$ and $c$ are the branching multiplicities of $\gamma_{l}, \gamma_{m}$ and $\gamma_{n}$. The group under consideration is branching multiplicity free and hence $a, b$ and $c$ are suppressed. The same notation is adapted in table 8 .

## 4. $\mathbf{3 j m}$ factors for $\mathrm{D}_{4}=\mathrm{C}_{4}$

The branching rules for $D_{4} \rightarrow C_{4}$ are given in table 4. Choosing

$$
\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{1}}=\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)_{\gamma_{2} \gamma_{2}}=\left(\Gamma_{5}\right)_{\gamma_{3} \gamma_{4}}=\left(\Gamma_{6}\right)_{\gamma_{5} \gamma_{6}}=\left(\Gamma_{7}\right)_{\gamma_{7} \gamma_{8}}=+1
$$

and

$$
\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{1}}=\left(\Gamma_{4}\right)_{\gamma_{2} \gamma_{2}}=-1,
$$

equation (31) of Butler and Wybourne (1976a) gives

$$
\left(\Gamma_{5}\right)_{\gamma_{4} \gamma_{3}}=+1, \quad\left(\Gamma_{6}\right)_{\gamma_{6} \gamma_{5}}=\left(\Gamma_{7}\right)_{\gamma_{8} \gamma_{7}}=-1,
$$

where we have used the $2 j$ symbols of the groups $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4}$. For Abelian groups all irreps are orthogonal or quasi-orthogonal (Butler and Wybourne 1976a), giving $\phi_{\gamma_{k}}=+1$. Here $\Gamma$ refers to irreps of $D_{4}$ and $\gamma$ refers to irreps of $C_{4}$. Certain $2 j m$ factors are chosen to be -1 to make all the 3 jm factors real. Proceeding as in $\S 3$ we obtain four
independent and one dependent $3 j m$ primitives. The $6 j$ symbols of $C_{4}$ may be taken to be +1 . The complete set of non-trivial inequivalent 3 jm factors of $D_{4} \supset C_{4}$ is listed in table 8 .

Table 8. Non-trivial inequivalent 3 jm factors for $\mathrm{D}_{4} \supset \mathrm{C}_{4}$.

```
(234/122)=-1
(255/134)=+2-1/2
(266/156) = +2-1/2
(277/178)=+2-1/2
(355/233)=+2-1/2
(367/258) =+2-1/2
(455/233) = +2 - -1/2
(467/258) =+2-1/2
(566/366) =+2 - -1/2
(567/357) =+2-1/2
(577/388)=+2-1/2
```
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